

at the sale, any time?

Ans: That I am unable to tell.

4th Q. Int: Did he bid more than \$325 on that day?

Ans: Well, I think that he did - I am not sure; because they were bidding through each other.

5th Q. Int: When Shurfey failed to comply as you state, why did you not set up the property at the last bid made by Ramsborg, to wit: \$354; instead of starting with \$325?

Ans: Well, persons are this way at a sale; if you don't give it to them at their bid they won't take it & you can't make them take it.

6th Q. Int: Did you offer it to Ramsborg, when Shurfey failed to comply?

Ans: No Sir.

No Re-direct Interrogatories filed with the Commission by the Respondent and herewith returned, the said O. T. Zim Gerveman deposes and says to the -

1st R. Q. Int: At what you call the first sale of this property, who was the highest bidder for the property, and who the next highest?

Ans: Shurfey was the highest bidder and Ramsborg was the next.

2d R. Q. Int: Mr. Shurfey declined to take the property at his bid - Did Mr. Ramsborg claim to be the purchaser at his next highest bid, or did he make any claim in reference thereto?

Ans: No Sir, he made no claim at all.

After the foregoing testimony had been taken and written down by the Commission, the Respondent offered to put the following interrogatory to Samuel Putman, upon the ground that he had omitted to propound said Interrogatory upon said witness examined in chief, to which the objectants objected and filed this their exception:

"15th Int: What was the highest amount bid by you, at any time during the sale, for said property?"

Ans: \$325."

Whereupon, there being no other witnesses present to be examined and no further time being required for the production of evidence upon the part of the Respondent, the Commission closed the said Commission and herewith returns the same, on this 27th day of November A.D. 1875.

W. B. Nelson (Seal)
Commissioner.