

ants and Defendants proceeded to take the following Depositions -
1st Direct Interrogatories filed by the Complainants with the Commission and
herewith returned, the said L. R. Masche, deposes and says - to the
1st R. D. Int: State fully the nature of the assignment of the claim, which is the
formation of this suit, to Charles E. Cassell, and for what purpose the
same was so assigned?

Ans: Well, we were compelled to have money to close up the indebtedness
of the firm of Masche, Bro & Co. - We had it in claims, but could not get
the money. We were compelled to borrow and did borrow from "The First
National Bank of Westminster", Cassell indorsed for us and assigned the
claim to Cassell as collateral security to pay the note off in Bank, and
that's the purpose for which Cassell is to use the money arising from
this claim when collected.

2^d R. D. Int: What is the amount of said note, who are the indorsed,
and what is Cassell's share of the liability?

Ans: The amount of the note is \$2500. The indorsers are deponents Masche,
Catharine Masche and Cassell - Cassell's share of the liability is \$1250 -

3^d R. D. Int: In your cross-examination, you spoke of streets, lanes and
alleys laid off on the property owned by William Sefton - Are such
streets, lanes and alleys shown?

Ans: They are not. One of the Alleys which always ran into the property
is now used by John M. Sefton to get to his house.

4th R. D. Int: State how the property referred to is enclosed? Both as to lots,
and as to the whole property?

Ans: All the lots were designated by stakes and the whole is enclosed by
fences, and are all embraced in the same enclosure or field. Having found
no sale for most of the lots, they are now being cultivated.

Whereupon, there being no other witnesses present to be examined and further
time being required for the production of evidence, said Commission was adjourned
to the 22^d day of July A. D. 1875, when and at the time and place aforesaid
the said Commission was by consent adjourned to the 24th day of July A. D.
1875, at which time and at the place aforesaid, I, then and there, in the
presence of the Solicitors for the Complainant, proceeded to take the following
depositions, to wit:

Alfred A. Prossell, a witness of lawful age, produced upon the part
of the Complainants, being duly sworn and examined to Interrogatories
filed with the Commission by the Complainant and herewith returned,
deposes and says - to the

1st Int: Do you know the houses and premises spoken of in this
cause, being those occupied by John M. Sefton?

Ans: I do.

2^d Int: Who did the plastering in said house, and by whose auth-
ority was the same done?

Ans: I done the principal part of the plastering, John M. Sefton em-
ployed me to do it. I lathed the whole house. First coated it and
second - coated and furnished the first story - I didn't furnish the

unspat - We
putting up
the height
commenced the
plate it by
ed?
- July 1871
string)
to finished
and com-
haul the
ted and
e, on ac-
house)
resting in
Shuffy
lath and
in your an-
foot for
to make
contract to
yourself
med to the
laid above
the Complain