

order extending
time for hearing
cause

Ordered this 3rd day of February 1875 that the time for showing cause
under the foregoing order be extended to the 4th day of February
1875.

Filed February 3, 1875

John A. Lynch
Judge of the Circuit Court

Answer of
Cardine Gaver
Danl. G. Biser
& Albena E
Biser

The answer of Cardine Gaver Daniel G Biser and Albena E
Biser his wife, the said Cardine and the said Albena E
being daughters and heirs of Henry Shafer late of Frederick
County dec^d. to the Petition filed by Peter H Shafer in A?
3911 Equity in the Circuit Court for Frederick County as
a Court of Equity. These respondents for answer to the
said Petition answer and say that they admit that Henry
Shafer late of Frederick County, did intestate in the Month of
November A. D. 1871, seized & possessed of real and personal estate
of great value. And that letters of administration upon
his personal estate herein granted by the Orphans Court
of Frederick County to John W. A. Shafer. These respondents
do not admit that the personal estate of said Henry Shafer
was insufficient for the payment of his debts and demand
full and strict proof of the Allegation in that behalf
contained in said Petition. These respondents admit that
the real estate whereof said Henry Shafer died seized
or a large portion thereof has been sold under a decree of
Your Hon. Court but Your respondents have no knowledge
of how much of the purchase money has come into the hands
of the trustees. And their respondents deny that said
Petitioner is entitled to claim the several claims presented
by him against the estate of said Henry Shafer deceased
as set forth in his Exhibits filed therewith marked Exhibits
Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4. These respondents deny that the claims
marked Exhibits Nos. 1, 2, 3, & 4, were in fact existed as valid
claims against said Henry Shafer dec^d. and Your respondents
deny that said Henry Shafer was indebted to said Petitioner
as in said Exhibits claimed and they aver that said claims
if they ever existed (which these respondents deny) are
stale and unjust claims, without consideration and are
barred by limitation and their respondents crave the
benefit of the provisions of the statute of limitation as if the
same had been specially pleaded. And these respondents
further show that all items in Exhibit No. 3 subsequent
to November Eighteen hundred and seventy one accrued
after the death of the said Henry Shafer and constitute
no claim against his estate and these respondents further
state that the claim marked Exhibit No. 1, is barred by
limitation, the benefit of which these respondents pray may
be allowed them as if specially pleaded. These respondents
further plead limitation to the claim marked Exhibit No. 2
and deny that said claim is now owing and they deny
that any of the said claims are just debts against the