is a very valuable interest by far exceeding in value the amount of said Mortgage and had the said Complainant exercised the power of sale given him by said Mortgage he would have realized a much larger sum from the sale of said interests than the amount of said judgment and that too at a very insignificant cost compared to the probable cost of these proceedings wherefore this Defendant submits that the said Complainant having such adequate remedy without this proceeding the cost of the same ought to be put upon said Complainant, and this Defendant prays she may be hence dismissed with her reasonable costs in this behalf sustained.

Milton G. Urner
Sol. for Deft. M. B.

Filed Jany. 16 1874.

Answer of Wm. Jumbrum & Wife

The joint and separate answers of William Jumbrum and Mary Jumbrum to the Bill of Complaint of Upton Stoner filed against these Defendants and others in the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity.

These Defendants for answer say they admit that Mary Bankerd the mother of one of these Defendants, Mary died on the 1st day of April 1873 convey her Life Estate in the Real Estate described in Complainants Exhibit No. 4 to this Complainant Mary that so far as these Defendants know the said conveyance was not made for the purpose of defrauding or hindering or delaying the Complainant or any Creditor of said Mary Bankerd and these Defendants do deny the same was made for any such purpose but was made for a valuable consideration and these Defendants further answering say they have no knowledge of the other matters and things charged in said Bill and without either admitting or denying the same they pray to be hence dismissed with their reasonable costs

Milton G. Urner
Solcr. for Defts Mary & Wm. J.

Filed Jany. 16. 1874.

Answer of Dennis Bankerd for. Bankerd & Wife

The joint and several answer of Dennis Bankerd and Josiah Bankerd & E Bankerd his wife to the Bill of Complaint of Upton Stoner filed against these Defendants and others in the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting in Equity.

These Defendants admit that the Complainant and Mary Bankerd one of the Defendants became sureties for these Respondents as alleged in said Bill of Complaint and that payment was recovered as alleged in said Bill at the May Term 1873 of the Circuit Court for Frederick County against the said Mary Bankerd and the Complainant and that said Complainant paid to the Plaintiff in said Judgment the amount alleged in said Judgment and took an assignment of the same these Defendants further admit that on the 2ND day of October 1871 they did sign and acknowledge the paper purporting to be a Mortgage of which Complainants Exhibit No. 2 they suppose is a copy but that they were induced to sign said paper by reason of false and fraudulent representations made to them by the Complainant and his Agents that the contents and legal effect of said paper were fraudulently misrepresented to these Defendants by the Agent of said Complainant who procured the Execution of said paper and