

3rd Is or is not the Personal Estate of said Otto F Harley sufficient to pay his debts
State all your knowledge herein.

6th Do you or do you not know the handwriting of Otto F Harley, if you know
do you know it look at the papers now shown you marked Exhibits No 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,
6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 & 13 and Exhibits No 20 now shown you by whom were said notes signed
state fully all your knowledge herein and especially state if you had any conver-
sation with Otto F Harley concerning the same and when, and what was
said by said Otto F Harley concerning the same, state fully all your knowledge herein

7th Do you or not know whether or no the said Otto F Harley died seized and possessed
of any Real Estate, if yes, when situate, describe it, look at Exhibits No 15, 16,
17, 18 & 19, Do or not said Exhibits describe the Real Estate of which said Otto
F Harley died seized and possessed referred to in your answer to the previous
part of this interrogatory, state fully all your knowledge herein.

8th Is or is not the Lands of which Otto F Harley died seized and possessed referred to in
your answer to the previous interrogatory susceptible of division without loss
or injury to the parties interested and will it or not be for the interest and advan-
tage of all parties interested therein, that the same be sold under a decree of this Court
and the proceeds divided among the parties interested therein, if you state your
Reason for such opinion

Lastly: Do you know or have you heard of any other matter and things
that would tend to the benefit or advantage of the Complainants in
this cause, if you state the same fully

Filed Oct. 13, 1873.

W J Ross } Solicitors for
C W Ross } Complainants

Testimony

At the execution of the annexed Commission issued out of the Circuit Court
for Frederick County and to me directed and empowering me to examine
evidences in the cause therein depending between Josephus F Harley's as Com-
plainants and Catharine Harley's as Defendants, & William Beckton Commissioner
therein named did on the 15th day of October 1873 at my Office in Frederick City
Md, then and there proceed to take testimony but there being no witnesses
present to be examined the said Commission was adjourned to the 20th
day of October 1873 at which time and at the place aforesaid I then
and there proceeded to take the following Testimony: The Complainants
filed with the Commissioner as evidence Exhibits Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10,
11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 & 20 which are herewith returned by the Commissioner
and there being no witness present to be examined and further time being rec-
eived for the production of evidences and due notice having been given
to the parties the said Commission was further adjourned to the 31st day
of October 1873 at which time and at the Office of W J Ross Esq. I
then and there proceeded to take the following Testimony to wit:

Catharine Harley a witness of lawful age produced upon the part of the Com-
plainants being duly sworn and examined to Interrogatories filed with the Com-
missioners by the Complainants and herewith returned deposes and says to the,
1st Int: I know all the parties to this suit and have known them for many years
they are all adults and at the filing of the Bill, in this case they all resided in Fred-
erick County Md. except John F Harley's wife who resided in Pennsylvania and
Cornelius F Harley who then resided out of the State of Maryland.

2nd Int: I know Otto F Harley, he is dead, he died about two years ago, at the