

shaded lines, from the point A on said plat which represents the beginning of said deed; the lines of said deed are numbered 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 to the place of beginning. The lines are truly shown on said plat, said deed as marked on said plat does embrace one half of the 137 Acres in the agreement marked F.B. No. 1, it does embrace exactly one half of said 137 Acres. The said deed as shown by the yellow lines does embrace other land than the half of the 137 Acres mentioned in agreement marked F.B. No. 1. said additional parcel is shown on said plat from the point A running with the lines marked 1 2 3 thence with the broken line to A. the beginning ~

3rd Sub. ~ Look at the paper here shown you marked A.F. and state whether the land embraced and described in said paper is shown on said plat? that is, the lines within which it lies, and state whether said lines are truly shown on said plat? State also whether said paper embraces one half of the parcel of 137 Acres mentioned in said paper or agreement shown you marked F.B. No. 1? If yes, does it embrace exactly the one half of said 137 Acres, or does it embrace more or less than said one half? ~

Answer ~ The land embraced in Exhibit A.F. is shown in said Plat, it is described thereon from the point B, in black lines Number 1 2 3 4, to A thence with the yellow broken line back to B the place of beginning. Said lines are truly described in said Exhibit Marked A.F. as shown on said plat. It does embrace one half of the 137 Acres mentioned in the agreement marked F.B. No. 1. It embraces the half of said 137 Acres exactly, neither more nor less. ~

4th Sub. Look at the black broken line from D to E, on said plat, and state why and for what purpose said line was run and by whom it was run and when?

Answer. ~ The broken or dotted line from D to E on said plat marked A.F. No. 2 was the line as run by me for a divisional line, to divide equally the said 137 Acres parcel. It was run at the time. It was run at the time of the first survey of the 137 Acres mentioned in Exhibit marked F.B. No. 1.

5th Sub. Look at the yellow shaded broken line on said plat from A to B, and state why and for what purpose said line was run, and by whom it was run, and when? State all the circumstances under which line was run. ~

Answer. The broken or yellow shaded line from A to B is a divisional line as made by a subsequent survey of said 137 Acres. It was run a short time after the line D E. was run. The line from D to E, as first run, was ascertained to be not a true divisional line to divide the said 137 Acres equally and therefore had to be corrected ~ in correcting the same for a true divisional line to divide the said 137 Acres equally the said yellow broken line from A to B. was afterwards run, and which does divide it equally. The said line A-B was run by me. ~

6th Sub. State whether or not there was any trick fraud or device contemplated by the Complainant, or in the mind of Complainant to your knowledge or belief in or connected with the running or causing to be run said black broken line from D to E. and said yellow shaded broken line from A to B shown on said plat, or either of them? ~

Ans. I know of no device, trick or fraud of the kind mentioned in the interrogatory. ~

7th Sub. State whether the said line from A to B, marked ^{that is an equal} ~~marked~~ a fair division of said 137 Acres? ~