


Citizen Office, Frederick, Md. May 6th 1842 ~
 I hereby certify, that the annexed Public Sale, was printed in The
 Citizen, a Newspaper published in Frederick County, for Four successive
 weeks prior to the 16th day of March 1842. ~
 Filed May 6th 1842 ~ J. W. Baughman, per law

Exhibit C. M.

Frederick, March 16th 1842 ~
 I hereby acknowledge having purchased at public Auction
 from John Wallace Auctioneer, the property mentioned and described
 in the annexed advertisement, as sold by Dr Thomas J Mc Gill Mortgages
 for the sum of \$480.00 Cash - And I hereby agree to pay the purchase
 money therefor upon the final ratification of the sale by the Circuit Court
 for Frederick County, and in all respects to comply with the terms prescribed
 in the annexed advertisement. ~

Witness my hand and seal
 Witness - J. W. Brown ~ Emmanuel J. Meyers 
 John Wallace - Auctioneer -
 Filed Mch. 25th 1842 ~

Court's Opinion
ratifying sale

Thomas J. Mc Gill Mortgages } No 3770 Equity ~
 or } In the Circuit Court for Frederick County
 Petitioner } as a Court of Equity. ~
 May Term 1842

Secr

Secr

The question before the Court Arises on Objections, filed by Edward
 J Maskey and wife, to the ratification of the sale made and reported by
 Thomas J Mc Gill, Mortgages. ~

The two principal objections, and most worthy of the consideration
 of the Court, are "inadequacy of price, and insufficiency of the de-
 scription of the property in the Advertisement". ~

In regard to the value of the property, most of the witnesses state
 that it is worth a thousand dollars, some say ^{about} eight hundred or a thousand
 dollars, and one witness, about six hundred dollars. ~ All agree in
 stating that the chief value of the property is in the House, and that
 the land without the house would be worth about twelve dollars per acre,
 which would make the land worth about Two hundred dollars

The property sold for four hundred and eighty dollars, about
 one half the value put upon it by most of the witnesses, and about
 two thirds of the estimate of the Mortgagee. This inadequacy of price
 of itself, is not enough to justify the Court in arresting the sale.

It must be so inoperative, as to indicate some mistake or unfairness
 in the sale for which the purchaser is responsible, or misconduct or
 fraud on the trustee, to whom the management of the sale has been
 committed" Johnson vs Dorsey of Gill 294

I do not think any such indications or suspicions arise in this
 case.

In Reeside and wife vs Peter &c 33 Md. 126 the Court of Appeals
 say "The Authority by which the property is sold" - a description thereof
 full enough to be understood by the public - its popular name
 if any, proximity to other known property - the name of the occupants
 at the time, or any other prominent characteristics, may all, or