

that Daniel Stottmeyer and Mary Catharine Stottmeyer his wife sold and conveyed all their right, title and interest in said Estate, to Rebecca Stottmeyer by deed dated the sixth day of March Eighteen hundred and sixty three, all of which will appear by reference to a certified copy of said deed herewith exhibited Marked No. 2, and that William H Stottmeyer and Amanda Stottmeyer his wife by deed dated the twenty eighth day of February in the year Eighteen hundred and sixty three sold and conveyed all their right title and interest, in and to said property, to said Rebecca Stottmeyer one of the Complainants in said cause, all of which will appear by reference to said copy of said Deed herewith exhibited, Marked Exhibit No. 3 Your Complainants further state, that Elizabeth Himes a daughter of said Hannah Stottmeyer, and wife of William Himes, died before her said Mother seized and possessed of one undivided twelfth part of the Real Estate described in Exhibit No. 1 which descended to her children - Namely - Samuel C. Himes, Hannah C. Himes, Elizabeth Ann Himes, Eliza Ann Himes, Amanda M. Himes and Martha Ellen Himes, all of whom are infants under the age of twenty one years, and all of whom resided in Frederick County State of Maryland except Hannah C. Himes who is a non resident, and resides in the State of Ohio, and that the said land so descended as held by your Complainants and the children of Elizabeth Himes as aforesaid as tenants in common in unequal proportions. ~ Your Complainants further state that William Himes the husband of the said Elizabeth Himes, survives his said wife, and is entitled as tenant by courtesy on the said undivided one twelfth part of the real estate described as aforesaid, Your Complainants further state that the Real Estate held in unequal proportions as aforesaid cannot be divided without loss or injury to all the parties interested, infants as well as adults, and that it would be to their interest and advantage of all the parties interested that the said Real Estate in the proceeding mentioned be sold, and the proceeds of sale be divided among all the parties according to their several and respective interests. ~ To the end therefore that the said defendants hereinafter mentioned may answer the several matters and things hereinbefore stated, as fully and as particularly as they were herein again repeated, and they were therein especially interrogated, and that the real estate in the proceedings mentioned, be sold, and that your Complainants may have such further and other relief as the nature and Equity of their case may require. ~ May it please your Honor to grant unto your Complainants an order of publication giving notice to the said Hannah C. Himes who is a non resident as before stated, of the substance and object of this Bill and warning her to appear in this Court in person or by solicitor on or before a certain day, to answer the premises and show cause if any she has, why a decree ought not to pass as prayed, and also the writ of Subpoena against the said William Himes, Samuel C. Himes Elizabeth A. Himes Eliza A. Himes Amanda M. Himes and Martha Ellen Himes of Frederick County, commanding them to appear in this Court at some certain day, to be therein named, to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree as may be passed therein and as in duty lies.

Filed April 26th 1864 ~

William J. Ross
Charles W. Ross

Solicitors for Complainants

At the request of Hannah Stottmeyer the following Deed was recorded August 27 1862 ~

This Deed made this 24th day of March in the year Eighteen hundred and sixty two by George W. Stottmeyer and Rebecca his wife, James C. Stottmeyer and Mary Jane his wife, Nelson C. Stottmeyer and Sarah A. C. his wife, Daniel Stottmeyer and Mary C. his wife, William H. Stottmeyer and Amanda C. his wife Nelson Stottmeyer and Catharine his wife, Christian Harman and Mary S. his wife, George Harman and Sabelian his wife, Joshua Lane and Catharine his wife, Moses H. Amory and Mahala his wife, Benjamin Shuff and Sarah his wife, heirs at law of Nelson Stottmeyer late of Frederick County in the State of Maryland deceased. Witnesseth, that in consideration of One hundred and fifty dollars, the said

Exhibit No. 1.