

Whereas David Schindler late of Frederick County deceased has a claim against the estate of James Kenna deceased which claim is admitted excepted to by the Central Bank of Frederick by exceptions filed in No 2735 Equity and whereas Mary C. Toms wife of Isaac Toms and a daughter and distributee of said David Schindler is aware of certain facts material and necessary and the said Isaac Toms and Mary C. Toms are willing to release their interest claim and demand in and to said sum of three hundred dollars and interest in said note specified herein and retaining all their claims upon the other portions of the estate of said David Schindler as heirs legatees or distributees upon the express condition that this release should not operate to exclude the claim of said Mary C. Toms and Isaac Toms in and to the other portions of the estate real and personal of said David Schindler

Now know all men that we Isaac Toms and Mary C. Toms his wife do release to Ann C. Schindler executrix of the will of David Schindler deceased all our right title and claim to a proportionate part of the said note of three hundred dollars with interest thereon executed by James Kenna to said David Schindler in his life time upon the express condition that this release is not to operate as a release of our share or portion of any other part of the estate real or personal of the said David Schindler deceased. Witness our hands and seals this 11th day of January 1860

Witness  
W. L. Ruff

Isaac Toms  
Mary C. Toms

The Central Bank } No 2735 Equity. In Circuit Court for Frederick  
as } County sitting as a Court of Equity  
James Kenna & others }

To The Honorable Madam Nelson Judge of the Circuit for Frederick County sitting as a Court of Equity  
The petition of R. H. Marshall the Director appointed in the above case to make sale of the real estate therein referred to represents to your Honor that he sold and reported to you the sale of a lot of ground situated on lands known to a certain John Biser at & for the sum of \$100 that the said John Biser did not conform to the terms of sale that your Honor filed his petition in your honorable court for a resale of the said lot of ground at the risk of said Biser that notice was served on the said Biser as was required by the said order as will appear by the return of the Sheriff filed in the cause and so may appear that the said Biser having shown cause by the day fixed in your said order that on the 9th day of June 1859 your Honor passed your final order directing your petitioner to visit the said lot of ground at the risk of the said John Biser which said order was filed in the cause as the docket entries fully show your petitioner further shows that the said final order of sale has been lost out of the papers and cannot be found. Your petitioner