

The Central Bank of No 2735 Co, In the Circuit Court  
of the District of Columbia } for Frederick County in Equity  
vs  
Brima.

The Central Bank of Frederick objects to the certification of the Auditor Report heretofore made in this case and shews to the Court here the following reasons why said Auditor Report should not be ratified & certain allowances made therein be allowed by this Court

- 1<sup>st</sup> Because it allows the claim of Michael Stone being Claim No 4 which claim is unjust & which is barred by the Statute of Limitations This Objector prays the benefit of said Statute of Limitations which is hereby pleaded
- 2<sup>d</sup> Because it allows the claim of Adam L. Schender being Claim No 10 which claim is barred by said Statute of Limitations this Objector therefore prays the benefit of said Statute which is hereby pleaded
- 3<sup>d</sup> Because it allows the claim of Kohlenberg & Brown being Claim No 17 which debt the Objector alleges that Jas. Hanna dec<sup>d</sup> never owed and for further objection pleads hereby the Statute of Limitations
- 4<sup>th</sup> Because it allows the claim of Robert Curtis being Claim No 40 which said claim is not proved according to Law which Jas Hanna dec<sup>d</sup> did not owe & to which this Objector pleads the Statute of Limitations
- 5<sup>th</sup> Because it allows the claim of Sampson & Thomas Hanna being Claim No 48 as assignees of Ho. Floyd which said claim is not proved according to Law and which was not owed by Jas Hanna dec<sup>d</sup> & to which this Objector pleads the Statute of Limitations
- 6<sup>th</sup> Because it allows the claim of L. A. Miller which is Claim No 57 upon which claim there should be a credit of \$200 which said credit is not allowed & which this Objector prays may be allowed & is disallowed from said claim
- 7<sup>th</sup> Because it allows the claim of Jas. Waters being Claim No 56 to which this Objector pleads the Statute of Limitations
- 8<sup>th</sup> Because it allows the claims of Philip Harnfels being Claim No 71 to which said claim this Objector pleads the Statute of Limitations
- 9<sup>th</sup> Because it allows the claims of Catharine Pechtge being Claim No 76 to which this Objector pleads the Statute of Limitations
- 10<sup>th</sup> Because it allows the claim of Jacob B. Miller for \$160 which said claim is not proved according to Law and which Jas Hanna dec<sup>d</sup> did not owe

Wherefore this Objector prays the Court that the said report of the Auditor may not be ratified & confirmed but that the said claims may be disallowed and that the said audit may be referred back to the Auditor to take testimony thereon & upon the several matters in these exceptions necessary to be proved & to take account and render account in accordance therewith & these exceptions B. Johnson & Jas M. Shroy  
his exceptions withdrawn objection No 9 being claim No 76 Bradley Johnson & Jas M. Shroy for Objector