

as you are directed and employed by the said Commission to take, write down & transcribe the said depositions, or any of them. ~
I help you God. ~

Interrogatories

Alpheus R Appelman Et al
vs
Nancy Appelman Et al
No 3242 Equity. ~
In the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting in Equity. ~

Interrogatories to be propounded to witnesses to be examined on behalf of the Complainants ~

First Int: Are you or not acquainted with the parties to this suit? if yes, how long have you known them? ~ Second Int: Did you or not know John Appelman? if yes, is he living or dead? if dead, when did he die? state if you know the names of his children, which are adults and which minors. ~ Third Int: Are you or not acquainted with the real estate of which John Appelman died, seized and possessed? Is it or not the same specified and described in the bill and Exhibits in this case? ~ Would it or not in your judgment, be to the interest and advantage of all said parties, infants as well as adults, that said real estate should be sold and the proceeds of sale invested for the benefit of the testator's widow during her life and on her death to be distributed among the children and devisees of John Appelman? ~ State your reasons for your opinion. ~

J. C. Schelberger
Sole for Complainant

Testimony

At the Execution of the annexed Commission issued out of the Circuit Court for Frederick County and to me directed and empowering me to examine witnesses in the cause depending in said Court, between Alpheus R Appelman and others as Complainants, & Nancy Appelman and others as Defendants, I William B. Nelson Commissioner therein named, did on the 29th day of January, Eighteen hundred and sixty six, at my Office in Frederick, Md., they and there proceed to take the following depositions, ~

Jacob Rudy, a witness of lawful age, produced on the part of the Complainants being duly sworn and examined to interrogatories filed with the Commissioner by the Complainants and herewith returned, deposes and says, ~

To the first Int: That he is acquainted with all of the parties to this suit and that he has known them for some years. ~

To the second Int: That he knew John Appelman, that he is dead, that he died three or four years ago, leaving the following children, namely, Alpheus R Howell, married to Jess P. W. Brown; Rebecca W. married to Daniel W. Rudy, and John P. Rudy, all adults, and Sarcimus, Asbury G. S. Philip, and William J Rudy, infants under the age of twenty one years. ~

To the 3rd Int: That he knows the real estate of which the said John Appelman died, seized and possessed; that it is the same as is described in the Exhibits in this case; that it would be for the benefit and advantage of all parties in interest that the said real estate should be sold and the proceeds be invested for the benefit of the testator's widow for life and at her death to be distributed amongst the children and devisees of the said John Appelman, for the reasons that the widow is very infirm and incapable and has no body to assist her except the children who are too young to be of much assistance and that the property is daily going to ruin from neglect and will not yield anything like a support for the widow & her family or so much as the investment of the proceeds of sales would give. ~ That said real estate consists mostly of town lots unimproved and rented out on shares; and on the 27 February 1866, ~

Louis Werring, a witness of lawful age, produced upon the part of the Complainants, being duly sworn and examined to interrogatories filed with the Commissioner by the Complainants and herewith returned, deposes and says, ~

To the first Interrogatory - That he knows all of the parties to this suit and that he has known

Secur