Catharine Hesson & Sarah E Hesson } vs. No. 3311 Equity
In the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting in Equity
January Term 1867

Ordered this 26th day of January, 1867 that on the 18th day of February next, the Court will proceed to act upon the Auditor's report this day filed in the above case, no cause to the contrary being shown before said day; provided a copy of this order be inserted in some newspaper published in Frederick County, for two successive weeks prior to said day —

Jas. W L Carty Clerk

Andrew J Hesson & Susan A. Hesson vs Catharine Hesson & others } No. 3311 Equity.
February Term 1867

Ordered by the Court this 19th day of February 1867 that the foregoing report of the Auditor be and the same is hereby ratified and confirmed, notice having been duly given as required by the foregoing order, and no cause being shown to the contrary; the Trustee is hereby authorized to pay out the funds accordingly with a due proportion of interest as the same has been or shall be received.

M. Nelson

Bill

Joshua Dill vs Ann M C Hindfelt } No. 3267 Equity
In the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting as a Court of Equity
Filed November 11th 1865 in the words and figures, viz — 50c Stamp
To the Hon Madison Nelson Judge of the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting in Equity —

The Bill of Complaint of Joshua Dill of said County humbly shows, That heretofore, to wit, about the 20th day of April AD 1859 a certain Conrad Abb of said County agreed with your orator to purchase from him a certain lot lying and being situate in the City of Frederick, in said County, and which is more particularly described in the Copy of a deed marked Exhibit No 1 and filed as part of this bill, at and for the sum of three hundred dollars Current money, which with the interest from said day was to be paid unto your orator on or before the twenty third day of July 1859. — And your Orator charges that the said Conrad Abb has departed this life leaving him surviving a widow, to wit Ann M C Hindfelt, since intermarried with one Hindfelt and the following children, his heirs at law to wit John Abb, Henry Abb, Margaret, intermarried with one Henry Blumenour, and Catharine, intermarried with one Henry Rhule, that said Henry Blumenour and Margaret his wife, and said Henry Rhule, and Catharine his wife, are non residents of the State of Maryland and before the jurisdiction of your Hon. Court, and your orator further charges that in pursuance of the said agreement of the said Conrad to purchase from your orator the property aforesaid and relying upon the promise of the said Conrad to pay the purchase money, therefore your Orator delivered up the possession of the said property to the said Conrad, and that the said widow of the said Conrad is actually now in possession thereof; And your Orator further charges that beyond the sum of twenty dollars, paid by the said Conrad as part of the purchase money of said property, no part of said purchase money has been paid by said Conrad nor by the said Widow, nor by any of the said heirs at Law of the said Conrad, but that the whole thereof, with the Exception of the said twenty dollars, now remains due and unpaid. To the end therefore that the said Ann M C. Hindfelt and Hindfelt (her husband) and the said John Abb, Henry Abb, the said Henry Blumenour and Margaret his wife, and the said Henry Rhule and Catharine, his wife, may answer the matters and things hereinbefore stated, and that the said property may be decreed to be sold for the payment to your Orator of such Amount

19
81