

of which Conrad Crowe died, seized and possessed? If you look at the Exhibits now shown you, did he not die, seized of the property described in said Exhibits, state fully all your knowledge herein, & 6th Did or did not the said Conrad Crowe die intestate? If yes, to whom did his property descend.

7th Would or would it not be to the benefit and advantage of the infants in this case that the property in the proceedings mentioned be sold by a decree of Court? If you state any facts or circumstances which show that it would be for the benefit and advantage of said infants that a decree for a sale should be passed, state fully all your knowledge herein.

8th Do you or not know the value and quantity of said lands? If yes, state to the best of your knowledge the quantity of said lands and their value; state fully all your knowledge herein.

9th Do you know or have you heard of any thing that would tend to the interest or advantage of the petitioner's cause? If yes, state fully all your knowledge herein.

S. Eichelberger
Solicitor for Petitioner

Testimony

At the Execution of the annexed Commission issued out of the Circuit Court for Frederick County, and to me directed and empowering me to examine evidences in the cause depending in the said Court, between Eliza Crowe & as petitioner, and Carlton Henry Crowe & as respondents, I William D. Nelson Commissioner therein named, did, on the 21st day of February Eighteen hundred and sixty four at my Office in Frederick City, Maryland, proceed to take the following testimony, to wit: The petitioner filed with the Commissioner as evidence Exhibits No: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7 which are herewith returned. There being no witnesses present to be examined and further time being required by the petitioner for the production of her evidence, the Commissioner adjourned the said Commission to the 27th day of February, at which time and at my Office aforesaid, I proceeded to take the following depositions, to wit: John W. Lamsburg, a witness of lawful age, produced upon the part of the petitioner, being duly sworn and examined to interrogatories filed with the Commissioner by the petitioner and herewith returned, deposes and says:

To the first Int: That he is acquainted with all the parties to this suit and has known them all for some years.

To the 2^d Int: That he was acquainted with Conrad Crowe, formerly of Frederick County, that he is dead & that he died about four years ago in Frederick County, Maryland.

To the 3^d Int: That Conrad Crowe was married at the time of his death & that Eliza Crowe is his widow and now resides near Middletown Frederick County, Maryland.

To the 4th Int: That Conrad Crowe left children, namely, Carlton Henry, Amanda Isabelle and Stephen Philip Crowe, are infants under twenty one years of age and all reside in Frederick County, Maryland.

To the 5th Int: That he knows the lands of which Conrad Crowe died seized and possessed - that he looks at the Exhibits now shown him and that Conrad Crowe died seized and possessed of the property described in the said Exhibits.

To the 6th Int: That Conrad Crowe died intestate - that he supposes said Conrad's property descended to his children heretofore named in the answer to the fourth interrogatory.

To the 7th Int: That it would be for the benefit and advantage of the infant children in this case that said property should be sold for the reason that the property belongs to two different estates & that Conrad Crowe and Henry Crowe, owned all the property, as partees, & with the exception of that described in Exhibit No: Land 7 - that said property is much out of repair and that it would take the greater portion of what it would rent for, to put and keep the same in proper repair - that the proceeds arising from a sale invested properly would