

Testimony

At the execution of the annexed Commission issued out of the Circuit Court for Frederick County, and to me directed and empowering me to examine Evidences in the case depending in the said Court, between Daniel Parrick and others, as Complainants and Miranda E. Ransburg and others as defendants, I William S. Nelson Commissioner therein named, did, on the 5th day of November, Eighteen hundred and sixty four, at my Office in Frederick Maryland, proceed to take the following depositions, to-wit:

Edward Parrick, one of the Complainants in this case, having been duly sworn and examined to interrogatories filed with the Commissioner by the Complainants and herewith returned, deposes and says:—

To the first — That he knows all the parties to this suit and that he has known them all for some years. —

To the 2^d — That he was acquainted with Cornelius Parrick formerly of Frederick County — that he is dead — that he died on the 16th day of March 1864, at Wood Mills, Frederick County, Maryland. — To the 3^d That said Cornelius Parrick left no widow. —

To the 4th That he knows the real estate of which said Cornelius Parrick, died, seized and possessed — that he looks at Exhibits Nos 1 and 2, now shown him — that the property and real estate therein described is that of which said Cornelius Parrick, died, seized and possessed. —

To the 5th That Cornelius Parrick died intestate — that his property descended to the following heirs at law, namely, Miranda E. Westcott, Sarah C. Emily J. Sumner W. and Charles W. Ransburg, Preetly Parrick, Noah Parrick, John Parrick and Charles Parrick, W^m Parrick Susan Working, wife of William Working, Dennis Parrick, Daniel Parrick and Edward Parrick, Sophia Ranzel, wife of Jacob Ranzel Albert Parrick, Selma Parrick, Robert Parrick, Simon Parrick, Sarah Parrick and Mary Jane Lightner wife of Preetly Lightner that William, Dennis, Albert, Simon and Joshua Parrick and Westcott, Sarah C. Emily J. Sumner W. and Charles W. Ransburg reside in the State of Illinois and that Jacob Ranzel and Sophia, his wife, also reside in the State of Illinois — that Susan Working, wife of William Working resides in Virginia that the rest of said heirs at law reside in the State of Maryland — that they are all adults, except Sarah C. Emily J. Sumner W. and Charles W. Ransburg and Noah, John and Charles Parrick, who are infants — under the age of twenty one years. —

To the 6th That said lands are of good quality and worth about two thousand dollars. —

To the 7th That said land would not be susceptible of an advantageous division amongst the parties in interest and that it would be to the advantage of all parties interested that said real estate should be sold. —

Daniel Snook, a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the Complainants, being duly sworn and examined to interrogatories filed with the Commissioner and herewith returned deposes and says: —

To the first That he is acquainted with the parties to this suit, and has known them for some time past. — To the 2^d That he was acquainted with Cornelius Parrick — that he died during March 1864. — To the 3^d That said Cornelius Parrick left no widow. —

To the 4th That he knows the real estate of which said Cornelius Parrick died seized and possessed — that it is the same as is described in Exhibits Nos 1 and 2 now shown deponent and filed in this case. — To the 5th That the said real estate of Cornelius Parrick descended to the following heirs at law, he having died intestate, namely, Miranda E. Westcott, Sarah C. Emily J. Sumner W. and Charles W. Ransburg, children of Maria Ransburg dec^d who was a daughter of said Cornelius Parrick, Preetly Parrick, Noah Parrick, John Parrick and

Answer
of
Catherine Parrick
and others

Answer
of
Preetly Parrick