William Barrick, William Working and Susan Working his wife, Dennis Barrick and Mary Barrick his wife, Jacob Routzahn and Sophia Routzahn his wife, Albert Barrick and Sarah Barrick his wife, Joshua Barrick and Eliza Barrick his wife, Robert Barrick, Simeon Barrick and Isaiah Barrick are non residents and beyond the limits of the State of Maryland: that said land cannot be divided among said heirs at law without injury and loss to the parties concerned and that the interests of all the tenants in common require that the same shd be sold. It is thereupon this 28th day of June 1864 ordered that the Complainants by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some newspaper printed in Frederick County once in each of four successive weeks prior to the 30th day of July next give notice to the said Maranda E Ramsburg, Hester A Ramsburg, Isaiah C Ramsburg, Emily J Ramsburg, Simeon W Ramsburg, Charles W. Ramsburg, William Barrick, Wm Working and Susan Working his wife, Dennis Barrick and Mary Barrick his wife, Jacob Routzahn and Sophia Routzahn his wife, Albert Barrick and Sarah Barrick his wife, Joshua Barrick and Eliza Barrick his wife, Robert Barrick, Simeon Barrick and Isaiah Barrick of the object and substance of this bill and warn them and each of them to appear in this Court in person or by solicitor on or before the 31st day of October 1864 to answer the premises and show cause if any they have why a decree should not pass as prayed. —

W. W. L. Carty Clerk of the Circ Court for Frederick County

Examiner Building Frederick Md. November 30th 1864. —

This is to Certify, that the annexed notice to absent defendants was published in the Examiner, printed in Fredericktown, once a week for four successive weeks prior to the 30th day of July 1864. —

Shley, Keefer & C. —

Order to injure Com.ts to take infants Answer

Daniel Barrick et al
vs
Maranda E. Ramsburg et al

No 3174. —

In the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting as a Court of Equity.
To Jos. W. L. Carty Clerk

Peeley Barrick, Noah Barrick, John Barrick and Charles Barrick the infant defendants in this case, having been duly summoned, — You will issue a Commission to Thos L. McLean Esq. to appoint a guardian to answer for said infants &c. —

Melton G. Elmer
Solr. for Complainants

Commission

Maryland Sct.

The State of Maryland, To Thomas L. McLean Esq. of Frederick County, Greeting, Whereas Daniel Barrick et al have lately exhibited their bill of Complaint before me in the Circuit Court for Frederick County, sitting as a Court of Equity, against Maranda E. Ramsburg, Peeley Barrick, Noah Barrick, John Barrick and Charles Barrick, and whereas I have, by my writ lately commanded the said defendants to appear me in said Court as a Court of Equity, at a certain day now past, to answer the said bill of Complaint but for as much as the said Peeley, John, Noah and Charles Barrick are infants under age, and cannot answer the said bill of Complaint nor defend this suit, without having a guardian assigned in that behalf — Know ye, therefore, that I have given unto you full power and authority in pursuance of the special order in said Court, to assign and appoint a Guardian for the aforesaid infants and to take the answer of the said infants, by such Guardian to the said bill of Complaint and therefore I command you, that at such certain day and place as you shall think fit, you assign and appoint a Guardian for the aforesaid infants, and take the answers of the said infants, by such Guardian, to the said Bill of Complaint on such Guardian's corporal oath upon the Holy Evangels, to be administered by you, the said answers being distinctly