own use and benefit. – There being no other witnesses present to be examined and the Complainant desiring further time for the production of his Evidences the Commissioner adjourned the said Commission to the 3d day of April 1863, at which time, at the office of Grayson Eichelberger Esquire, in Frederick City, Maryland, I proceeded to take the following depositions to wit;

Grayson Eichelberger, a witness of lawful age, produced on the part of the Complainant being duly sworn and examined to interrogatories filed with Commissioner by the Complainant and herewith returned, deposes and says, –

To the 14th Int. – That he looks at the papers now shown him marked G.E. No 1. and G.E. No 2. that Elias Delander paid to deponent, as attorney for Jacob Summers of J. on the 1st April 1863, the sum of three hundred and twenty eight dollars and thirteen cents ($328.13,) that he does not know whether he paid the money as surety. – The Complainant filed with the Commissioner as evidences Exhibits "B" "C" "D" "E" "F" "G" "H" "I" "K" "D P" "I D" "G C" "G.E. No 1 and "G.E. No 2", which are herewith returned by the said Commissioner.

There being no other witnesses present to be examined and the Complainant desiring further time for the production of his evidences the Commissioner adjourned the said Commission to the 28th day of July 1863, at which time, and at my office in Frederick City, Maryland, in presence of the Solicitor for the Complainant, the said Commission was opened for the taking of further testimony, but there being no witnesses present to be examined and no further time being desired for the production of evidences the Commissioner closed the said Commission and herewith returns the same under his hand and seal this twenty eighth day of July in the year Eighteen hundred and sixty three,

W. B. Nelson Comr. (Seal)

Costs of Commission – Comrs fee $20. Sheriff's fees 80¢. Witnesses attendance $4.50 –

W. B. Nelson Comr. (Seal)

Answer of David Kailer

Elias Delander
vs
Catharine Delander et al

No. 3037 Equity in the Circuit Court for Frederick County.

The answer of David Kailer to the bill of Complaint against him in Chancery Exhibited, – This defendant admits the several matters and things, charged in the Complainants bill to be true, – And for further answer to said bill of Complaint this ~~bill~~ defendant says that, all of the purchase money in said bill mentioned was paid to him by the said Elias Delander for the said Peter Delander and that no deed for said property referred to in said bill of Complaint has been made to said Peter Delander or any one else, and the defendant submits to such decree in the premises as may be right.

David Kailer

Answer of Mary Kailer

Elias Delander
vs
Catharine Delater et al

No. 3037 Equity, in the Circuit Court for Frederick County.

The answer of Mary Ann Kailer to the bill of Complaint against her in Chancery exhibited. – This defendant admits the several matters and things charged in the Complainants bill to be true and submits to such decree as may be passed in the premises,

Mary A. Kailer

Decree

Elias Delander
vs
Catharine Delander et al

No. 3037 Equity, in the Circuit Court for Frederick County.

July Term 1863,

This cause standing ready for hearing and being submitted without argument the proceedings were read and considered; It is thereupon this 19th day of August 1863