

every the witness and witnesses produced and examined by the Commissioners named in the commission hereunto annexed, as far forth as you are directed and employed by the said Commissioners to take, write down, and transcribe the said depositions, or any of them. So help you gods.

Compts. Henry F. Stokes & } No. 2642 Equity.
 Interests, Others } In the Circuit Court for Frederick County
 sitting as a Court of Equity.

vs
 Mary Damuth & }
 Others } Interrogatories to be proposed to witnesses to be
 produced on the part of Complainants,

1st Interrogatory, Are you or not acquainted with the parties to this suit, How long have you known them and each of them.

2nd Int, Are you or not acquainted with the real estate of which James Stokes died seized and possessed as specified and described in the Bill and Exhibits in this case, if yea, will the same admit of a division amongst the heirs at law without great injury and loss and will it or not be for the advantage of the infants that said real estate should be sold and the proceeds distributed, amongst the parties according to their respective rights.

3rd Int. Were you or not acquainted with Catharine Weller, if yea, is she or not dead, when did she die, did she die before or after James Stokes, did she leave any children, if yea, state their names, and whether or not they are infants under the age of twenty one years.

4th Int, Did the said Catharine Weller, leave her husband surviving her, if yea, what is his name and his age, did said Catharine Weller leave any children by said Weller, surviving her, if yea, how many and what are their names.

Isaac E. Pearson
 Solicitor for Complt.

Com^{rs} Henry F. Stokes } No. 2642 Equity
 Return & Others } In the Circuit Court for Frederick County as a
 of vs } Court of Equity.
 Testimony Mary Damuth

At the execution of the annexed Commission issued out of the Circuit Court for Frederick County as a Court of Equity, and to me directed and empowering me to examine evidences in the cause depending in the said Court between Henry F. Stokes and Others, complainants and Mary Damuth and Others defendants. I - John A. Lynch, Commissioner therein named, having met at my office on the 11th day of January 1856, and having taken the oath annexed to said Commission, did proceed thence there to take the following depositions, to wit, Samuel Keefer a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the complainants, being duly sworn and examined to interrogatories filed with the Commissioner by the Complainants and herewith returned, deposes and says, - To the first interrogatory, that he knows all the parties to this suit except Richard M. Stokes & he knows Jonas Stokes, who thinks is wrongly called Richard M. Stokes in said bill; that he has known them all for a number of years, To the second interrogatory that he is acquainted with the real estate mentioned in said bill, and does not think said real estate could be divided among the heirs at law with advantage to each of them; but believes that

Com^{rs}
 to
 Appt
 Guardian

Answer
 of
 Joshua Stokes
 Guardian