

Endorsed. The execution of the within Commission will appear from the schedule hereunto annexed.

William G. Cook
1 Cont!

The following petition was filed 31 May '56.

To the Honble. Madison Nelson Circuit Judge for the Third Judicial Circuit of the State of Maryland. Sitting in the Circuit Court, for Frederick County in Equity. The Petition of Lawrence J. Brungle, and Eliza Brungle his wife, and others. Complainants in No. 2661 Equity. Respectfully sheweth.

That in filing this said Bill of Complaint they were not aware of the fact that Catharine Hummel, one of the defendants was married. Your petitioners state that the said Catharine married John Martin and now resides in the City of Baltimore, and prays leave of the Court, to amend said Bill & to make the said John Martin a party defendant to said bill, and to order a subpoena to issue to the Sheriff of the City of Baltimore, commanding him to summon the said John Martin to be and appear, in this Court and answer said bill, and show cause if any he have why a Decree should not pass as prayed.

Wm. J. Ross, Sells.
For Compt.

In No. 2661. Equity. In the Circuit Court for Frederick County. Ordered that the Bill filed in this Cause be amended as prayed.

31. May. 1856.

M. Nelson.

The following answer of John Martin & wife was filed 31. May. '56. The answer of John Martin & Catharine M. Martin his wife of the City of Baltimore, to the bill of Complaint of Lawrence J. Brungle & Eliza Brungle his wife, and others filed in the Circuit Court for Frederick County as a Court of Equity, against them, and others. These defendants, for answer to the Original, and amended bills, filed in this Cause, answer & say that they admit the facts stated in the answer of John D. Hummel, Jacob E. Hummel & Catharine E. Martin, and they adopt the same as their answer, and further admit that Catharine Hummel, married said John Martin, and agree that such Decree may be passed for the sale of the property mentioned in said bill as to the Court may seem right, and pray hence to be dismissed, &c.

John Martin.
Catharine M. Martin.

Answer of Eleanor Gealer, filed May 31st 1856.

The answer of Eleanor Gealer of Frederick County, State of Maryland widow of Henry Gealer, son of George Gealer, decd. to the bill of Complaint of Lawrence J. Brungle, and others, filed in the Circuit Court for Frederick County as a Court of Equity. This defendant for answer to the said Bill, answers, & says that she admits the several facts stated in said bill to be true as therein stated, and agrees that such Decree may be passed in the premises, as to the Court may seem right & prays hence to be dismissed &c.

Eleanor Gealer.

Answer of John D. Hummel et al. filed, May 31. '56.

The answer of John D. Hummel, Catharine Hummel, and Jacob Hummel of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland to the bill of Complaint of Lawrence J. Brungle and others, filed in the Circuit Court, for Frederick County as a Court of Equity. These defendants for answer to said bill, answer & say, that they admit that Henry Gealer of Frederick County, being seized