

The following Exceptions were filed Aug⁷ 1854

Joseph Eastday & Sarah A. his wife } In the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting in
 " " } Equity Exceptions to answer of Francis A. Knott
 Francis A. Knott & Ruth W. his wife & others } & wife The Complainants by their solicitors
 except to the sufficiency of the answer of the said Francis A. Knott & wife & show the following
 points of Exception, to wit: Because the said defendants - Knott & wife in & by their
 said answer have not responded to the allegation - contained in said bill of Com-
 plaints That it will be for the Interest, & advantage as well of the Complainants
 and of the said Knott and wife as also of the said Frederick Cunningham Edward
 L. Cunningham and John M. D. Cunningham that the lands, and premises in
 the Bill of Complaint mentioned should be sold, and the proceeds of sale divided
 amongst the said heirs at law of said Frederick Slagel in the proportions, in
 which they may be entitled as in said bill mentioned & because the said Knott
 & wife in their said answer have neither admitted or denied said allegation
 Wherefore the said Complainants pray that the said Knott & wife, may be required
 to answer said allegation positively and directly according to the best of their
 knowledge information & belief

Wm P. Mauleby }
 J. Nelson } Solicitor for Com^{ts}
 Ordered this 7th day of August 1854 That the foregoing Exception stand for hearing
 on the 21st day of August Inst (1854) Provided a copy of the foregoing Exception & of
 this order be served on the said defendants Francis A. Knott & Ruth W. his wife or their
 solicitor on or before the 10th day of August Inst by the Sheriff of Frederick County
 W. Nelson

The following Copy was filed 7th Aug¹⁸⁵⁴

Joseph Eastday & Sarah A. his wife } In the Circuit Court for Frederick County sitting in Equity
 " " } Exceptions to answer of Francis A. Knott & wife
 Francis A. Knott & Ruth W. his wife & others } The Complainants by their solicitors except to the sufficiency
 of the answer of the said Francis A. Knott & wife & show
 the following points of Exception to wit: Because the -
 said defendants Knott & wife in and by their said answer have not responded to
 the allegation contained in said Bill of Complaint - that it will be for the In-
 terest and advantage as well of the Complainants, and of the said Knott & wife
 as also of the said Frederick Cunningham, Edward L. Cunningham & John M. D.
 Cunningham that the lands and premises in the Bill of Complaint mentioned -
 should be sold and the proceeds of sale divided amongst the said heirs at law of the
 said Frederick Slagel, in the proportions, in which they may be entitled as in said
 bill mentioned and because the said - Knott & wife in their said answer have neither
 admitted nor denied said allegation wherefore the said Complainants pray that the
 said Knott & wife, may be required to answer said allegation positively & directly
 according to the best of their knowledge information & belief

Wm P. Mauleby }
 J. Nelson } Solicitor for
 Com^{ts}

Ordered this 7th day of August 1854 that the foregoing exception stand for hearing on
 the 21st day of August Inst (1854) provided a copy of the foregoing Exceptions & of this
 order be served on the said defendants Francis A. Knott & Ruth W. his wife or their solicitor
 on or before the 10th day of August Inst by the Sheriff of Frederick County
 (True copy Inst Edw^d Shriver ck
 W. Nelson
 Endorsed "Serous" J. C. Neal shiff