To 2d. Intg He answers He was acquainted with the Real Estate referred to in the Intg described in the Exhibits A B C D E now shown him Deponent thinks, that part of the property remaining in the possession of Nancy Young & wife, and not included in the Deed marked Exhibit E was not the fair & reasonable fourth part of the whole of said Estate, regarding quantity & value
To the 3d. Intg He answers That he was acquainted, with the Circumstances, under which the Deed to Jonathan Perry marked Exhibit C / and the Deed, marked exhibit D to John D Smith were executed & the objects prospects purposes & understanding, with and under which Hannah Young the Complainant joined in and Executed said Deed
To the fourth Intg. He answers That the object & purpose of the parties in making said Deed of Trust to Smith was to pay & satisfy the Creditors of Nancy Young That the sole object & Consideration of inducing upon Hannah Young, to release Voluntarily and without Valuable Consideration given to her for the same, was to assist in the payment of her husbands debts & she therefore released her right of Dower in so much of the undivided Interest of said Estate as belonged to her husband for the purpose of assisting in paying his debts To the fifth Intg He answers - That it was understood by the said Hannah & her husband, and so explained & stated that the design & purpose of the parties was in making said deeds, that the said Hannah was only to part with her dower right in the undivided three fourths of said Estate, belonging to her husband
To the Sixth Intg He answers That the understanding and agreement of the said Hannah in executing the said Deed to Perry was that she thereby only parted with her dower in her husbands share of said Estate, and she agreed to execute the same with the express assurance & understanding between herself, and her husband and that thereby her fee simple right to all of said Estate not embraced in said deed was retained & that the effect, object & purpose of said Deed would be to set apart divide off & apportion to her, in fee simple the residue of said Estate as for her share & one fourth part of said Estate of her deceased father
To the seventh Interrogatory he answers She declined & claim to retain her own original inheritable part of said Estate, & she was assured by her husband, and by the Justices who took the acknowledgment of said Deed to Perry that the effect of said Deed would be to separate & divide the Interest of her husband from hers & to leave the residue to her, in her own right & she would not have executed said Deed if she had had not been so advised & induced to believe, by the representations of her husband, and said Justices & others & she believed such was the effect thereof
To the Eighth Interrogatory, he answers She did rely upon the statements, as mentioned in this Interrogatory, her signing