To the Sixth interrogatory, that of there is any difference in Walkins habit since his marriage to complainant, this deponent does not think there is better since than before. Deponent does not think them worse.

The Commission then adjourned. At the instance of the complainant's solicitor notice was duly given to the defendant that further testimony would be taken on Thursday September the fourteenth, at the same place at eleven O'clock.

Thursday September the fourteenth, in pursuance of notice the Commissioner opened met, but neither party appearing, and no witnesses being produced it adjourned.

Thursday, September the twenty eighth eighteen hundred and sixty four. The Commissioner met in accordance with notice previously given at the office of A. Randall Esq^r in the city of Annapolis at twelve O'clock noon, when the following testimony was taken, A.B. Hagner Esq^r Solicitor for the complainant, and Allen. Randall Esq^r Solicitor for the defendant being present.

Francis Brashears, a witness of lawful age produced by the complainant, and being sworn was examined upon an additional special interrogatory filed by complainants Solicitor and herewith returned, and answered as follows:

That this deponent has, in his opinion answered the substance of this interrogatory upon his previous examination. As he stated then, he states now again, that Watkins will drink. He has seen him under the influence of liquor. He looks upon him as a drinking man, and in reference

to his habits in this respect as compared with his neighbors, he thinks that Watkins compares unfavourably with them, because he knows no one in the neighborhood who would resort to such little things to procure liquor. On two occasions when deponent kept store, Watkins treated him in a way which led him to look upon Watkins afterwards very unfavourably. On a sunday morning some eighteen months or two years ago deponent was called up between day break and sun rise by Watkins, who told him that he had come from Dr. Murray's to procure a pint of brandy which Dr Murray had directed him to get to be used in rubbing a negro woman at Watkins house then very sick, as he stated, and whom Murray was attending as a physician. Deponent hesitated about giving it to him, and asked him whether he was telling the truth. Watkins assured him that he was, and upon deponent's wife advising him to let him have it as it might possibly be needed in a case of illness, he consented and gave him the brandy. As deponent was going to Church that day, he called at Watkins home, and inquired of the condition of the woman, Watkins said she was better, but disappeared and he saw no more of him until later in the day, when at Church and during the service Watkins came quite under the influence of liquor. Upon inquiry of Watkins brother who was living at the house, and who left at that morning, and other members of the family, deponent learned that the woman that the defendant represented to as being ill and requiring the brandy