Taken in the presence of A. B. Hagner Esq^r Solicitor for the Complainant and Alex. Randall esq^r Solicitor for the defendant.

Thomas Davidson a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the defendant being duly sworn and examined to interrogatories filed and thereunto returned deposes and says:

To the first interrogatory, that he knows both the parties, that he has known them for several years, and has lived in the same neighborhood with them.

To the second interrogatory, that he does not know much about their characters. He knows their characters mostly from hearsay. He has known the defendant both since and before his marriage to work and to play. He has seen him dissepating, at the times sober. Deponents opinion and the opinion of his associates friendly to Mrs Watkins is, that she did badly in marrying the first husband, and did worse when she married the defendant. She knew his character and ought to have married him. #

To the third interrogatory that he knows nothing about the treatment of the parties towards each other.

To the fourth interrogatory, that since her marriage with the defendant Mrs Watkin has dealt with the deponent in her own name, and kept an account at his store for things for the family which she paid for. The account was paid for by Daniel Davis giving his note to this deponent for the amount of a debt which he owed Mrs Watkins for board, at her request. Deponent bought poultry from Mrs Watkins and paid her for it. Mrs Watkins wrote to deponent, that she would not be responsible for any purchases made by Watkin without her written order. The defendant generally had a riding horse; the rest of the property deponent understood was Mrs Watkins which she owned before

† her marriage with defendant. After the marriage Mrs Watk appeared to have the control of this property.

To the fifth interrogatory, that deponent believes that they were well acquainted with each other. They lived in the same neighborhood, saw him before the marriage at her own house, because deponent himself had seen him there, and she had every opportunity of knowing him.

To the sixth interrogatory, that deponent does not think ## that there is much difference in his habits since his marriage and before, unless it be a change for the better within a year past. So far as deponent has observed, he thinks he has recently improved.

To the seventh interrogatory, that he does not know.

To the eighth interrogatory, that he cannot say that he has been on the place often enough to observe the mode of management.

Samuel H. Dorsett, a witness of lawful age produced on the part of defendant, being sworn was examined to the same interrogatories filed and returned as aforesaid.

To the first interrogatory, that he knows the parties. He has known Watkins the defendant since he was a boy, and Mrs Watkins for eighteen years. But he knew very little of her until after her first husband's death.

To the second interrogatory, that he knows the character # of the parties. The defendant Billy Watkins has always borne the character of a very obliging good-natured young man, not particularly fond of work. Deponent knows nothing against his moral character, except to deal in the fanciful, and extravagant and to talk at random. He has never doubted his honesty and has had various dealings with him. In respect to sobriety, he has seen him during his acquaintance with him, but about a half dozen times under the influence of liquor, and only once when he might be said to