more than once or twice. During the year eighteen hundred and fifty one he was frequently at the house where the complainant and defendant lived. He also visited the house during the year eighteen hundred and fifty three, having lived during that time about two miles and a half or three miles distant. Watkins' habits are dissipated, and more so now than in eighteen hundred and forty nine. Deponent and defendant worked the land together in eighteen hundred and forty nine. His habits now are worse than they were then. He is a good deal from home. Deponent hardly ever finds him at home. He means, when he says that Watkins is dissipated, that he is a drunkard. He is not at all industrious, as deponent would judge from his movements on the farm, and would say that he is very lazy. Deponent has found him very drunk at grog shops and places where liquor is sold. He has been at the house when Watkins has come home, and he is, when in liquor, very quarrelsome, and overbearing. He can't say that Watkins has a good character. He is acquainted with his character for veracity. He is looked on as a man who does not speak the truth and is regarded as a liar. He is unable to say what is his character for honesty, nor can he say what other persons say of him in this respect.

To the third interrogatory, that he knows for a portion of the time, during the time when deponent lived in the house, the treatment of Mr Watkins by the defendant. Once in the year eighteen hundred and fifty two when deponent boarded in the house the defendant being under the influence of liquor Mrs Watkins spoke to him something about the servants, and defendant threatened to cow

hide her, and swore that he'd be damned if he did'nt cowhide her if she did not hush. That was the only time that deponent recollects ever hearing any threats of that sort. His treatment to Mrs Watkins, when under the influence of liquor was very unkind. He was frequently intoxicated and when so, was very quarrelsome. Deponent does not think that he treated her as a husband ought to treat his wife. The fourth interrogatory waived.

To the fifth interrogatory, that the defendant, has since his marriage with Mrs Watkins, managed the farm badly. The deponent knows this. When on the farm he is very lazy. He did not make the crops which he ought to have made, and showed no disposition to make any crops or to work for his family. He never bore any authority with his servants, they did not mind him. It was his own fault, because he never whipped them to make them obey him. Watkins made pretty tolerable crops of corn in eighteen hundred and fifty and eighteen hundred and fifty one, but did not save it as it ought to have been saved, having been pretty much destroyed by the stock. But in neither of those years, did he make half a crop of tobacco. By good management twice as much tobacco might have been made, and the corn crops saved. To the sixth interrogatory, that to deponent's knowledge, the defendant has no property other than that derived from his marriage with the complainant. To the seventh interrogatory, that deponent has seen some accounts, one of which was placed in his hands for collection, charged to Mr Watkins in which there was a good deal of liquor charged. On one occasion deponent was, d