

File No. 25580 Continued.

dition of, any part of said river or of said branches of tributaries or either of them."

In the case of Foard vs. State of Maryland, 219 Federal Report at page 827, the validity of this Act was upheld.

I make the suggestions in the latter part of this letter merely to advise you with reference to the City's liability.

Respectfully yours,

(Signed) Roland R. Marchant,

City Solicitor.

.....

File No. 25577

Baltimore, November 7, 1919.

Mr. C. H. Osborne,
Building Inspector.

Dear Sir:

I have your letter of the 6th instant, with reference to the application for permission to repair and alter present show windows at the northeast corner of Baltimore and Howard streets.

We find no record in this office of any written opinion of Mr. Field that alterations or repairs to show windows or areas would be regarded as a new construction and subject to franchise charge from the date of improvement.

I note from your letter that the applicant in this case claims that he is merely repairing these windows and that there will be no extension of the same or change of lines.