

File No. 19948, continued.

12084

from William Mohr, Esq., Supt. of Lamps & Lighting, I beg to advise you:

According to this correspondence the City had a contract with Stuart R. Carr & Company, requiring the latter to furnish the City with 1,000 or more plain iron gas lamp-posts, to be supplied at \$5.45 each.

Mr. Mohr desires to know whether under the terms of this contract he can continue to order a greater number of lampposts, and I beg to advise that he may order any number which may be required by the contract, without limitation.

Very truly yours,  
(Signed) Alexander Preston,  
Deputy City Solicitor

File No. 19618.

Baltimore, February 8, 1916.

Hon. S. S. Field,  
City Solicitor,

Dear Sir:-

12085

In reply to your letter of February 7, 1916, by which you refer to me a letter of Calvin W. Hendrick, Chief Engineer, under date of January 27, 1916, wherein he asks whether or not notices concerning violations, condemnation, street numbers, etc., may be served by registered mail, I beg leave to say that in my opinion under the present provisions of Article 7 of the Baltimore City Code that form of notice, in a great many instances, at least, would not be legally sufficient, because many of the sections require personal service and others, by legal construction, required personal service to be given.

McDermott - vs - Board of Police (N.Y.)  
5 Abb. Prac. 422, 437.  
Rathbun vs. Acker (N.Y.)  
18 Bard. 393, 395.