

File No. 19617. continued.

Baltimore, February 7, 1916

Hon. S. S. Field,
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

2083

In reply to your letter of February 7th, 1916, by which you refer me a letter of Calvin W. Hendrick, Chief Engineer, under date of January 27, 1916, wherein he asks whether or not notices concerning violations, condemnation, street numbers, etc., may be served by registered mail, I beg leave to say that in my opinion under the present provisions of Article 7 of the Baltimore City Code that form of notice, in a great many instances, at least, would not be legally sufficient because many of the sections require personal service and others, by legal construction, require personal service to be given.

McDermott - vs- Board of Police
(N.Y.) 5 Abb. Prac. 422, 437.

Rathbun - vs- Acker (N.Y.)
18 Barb. 393, 395.

Therefore, I am sending you herewith a draft on an ordinance which is intended to cover all instances where notice is required by said Article to be served. This form of notice, when authorized by a legislative body, is I think, perfectly legal.

Very truly yours,
(Signed) George Weems Williams,

File No. 19948.

Baltimore February, 8, 1916

Hon. S. S. Field,
City Solicitor,
Court House, Baltimore, Md.

Dear Sir:-

In reply to yours of February 7th, enclosing correspondence