

File No. 19948. continued.

Mr. Lee desires to know who should bear the expense of sending an insane criminal to the Springfield Asylum. The specific instance he refers to is the case of one Ronek, who was charged with arson, the verdict being - "Not Guilty, by reason of insanity," and the Court ordered that the prisoner be confined in the Springfield State Hospital.

In my opinion this prisoner is in the custody of the sheriff, and the sheriff is responsible for his removal to the institution mentioned.

In the case of any person confined or committed to the Baltimore City Jail, who becomes insane, the Charter, Section 144, provides that the Board of Visitors to the Jail may send such person to a hospital or infirmary; in such cases the expense would have to be borne by the Jail Board.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) Alexander Preston,
Deputy City Solicitor

File No. 19948

Baltimore, January 7, 1916

Frank M. Merriken, Esq.,
215 St. Paul street, City.

Dear Sir:-

Your letter of the 6th inst., in reference to taxes for the year 1912 on the property No. 614 South Ann street, alleged to have been paid in error, received.

Sec. 32 of Art. 1 of the Baltimore City Code of 1906, provides -

"No claim shall be considered by the Council after the period of limitation has elapsed by which, under the laws of the State of Maryland, such claim would be barred."

12064