File No. 13858

10130

Baltimore, December 26, 1911.

Mr. Charles H. Minnick,

Bay View Hospital

P. U. Sta. "J".

Dear Mr. Minnick:

I hope you won't think I am unaccommodating, but it would be impossible for me to undertake to give opinions on legal questions to all the employees of the City. It keeps me working all day, and sometimes part of the night, giving opinions to the Mayer and the Council and heads of Departments.

I would say, however, without looking into the matter, or being committed to it in any way, that whether your dog is a nuisance or not is a matter that would have to be determined in civil suit. If your neighbor sues you on account of the nuisance, the Court or the Jury would determine whether the dog's barking is sufficient annoyance to amount to a nuisance.

I do not think the policeman or any city official will bother you as long as you keep your dog in the yard or on your premises. Yours very truly,

> (Signed) S. S. Field, City Solicitor.

..........

F11e No. 13860

Baltimore, December 27, 1911.

Richard J. Biggs, Esq.,

112 South Street, City.

Replying to yours of the 27th inst., in reference to the equip-Dear Sir: ping of furnaces in the school buildings, I beg to advise you that, in my judgment, this properly comes under the supervision of the Inspector of Buildings.

Very truly yours, (Signed) S. S. Field, City Solicitor.

10131