

File No. Continued.

tions 5 or 6, or of any other Sections of the Ordinance.

Sections 5 and 6 are in no wise inconsistent with any of the provisions of the Building Code, and, in my opinion, are in full effect and should be enforced by the Commissioner of Health.

Truly yours,
 (Signed) German H. H. Emory
 Assistant City Solicitor.

File No. 12403.

Baltimore, February 8, 1911.

Harry P. Hooper, Esq.,
 Comptroller
 City.

Dear Sir:

I have yours of the 7th enclosing letter from Mr. Furst, President of the Arundel Sand and Gravel Company, in reference to the necessity of placing insurance upon the plant of the Company on Pier 2.

Under Ordinance No. 407, approved November 15, 1909, the Company is required to insure and keep insured, at its expense in an amount and in an insurance company or companies satisfactory to the Comptroller, all the improvements erected by it on the part of the Pier leased, the proceeds of insurance in case of fire to be used in rebuilding or in restoring said improvements.

The amount of insurance, therefore, to be placed is left to the determination of the Comptroller.

It is very apparent from the contents of Mr. Furst's letter that there is but little necessity for placing insurance on the Company's structures. The Ordinance, however, seems to contemplate that some insurance at least should be carried and I would suggest, therefore, that a policy for a nominal amount at least should be taken out.

I return Mr. Furst's letter.

Very truly yours,
 (Signed) Edgar Allan Poe.
 City Solicitor.