

File No. 11817 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

you have held a court of inquiry and found D. V. Ault & Co. guilty of carelessness in performance of their work and responsible for damage to said property? If such is the case, please so advise and we will surely enter an appeal, also give notice that any moneys paid or held on said claim is against our wish and protest, claiming same as contrary to contract.

Yours truly,

(Signed) D. V. Ault & Co.,

per D. V. Ault.

File No. 11817.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, October 5, 1910.

Calvin W. Hendrick, Esq.,

Chief Engineer, Sewerage Commission.

Dear Sir:-

500
Replying to your letter of October 5, 1910, in reference to notices sent by you to D.V.Ault & Company, and the letter, dated October 5, 1910, sent by D.V.Ault & Company to you, let me state that your reply need merely be as follows:

"Replying to your letter of October 5, 1910, let me state that I am merely proceeding in accordance with Paragraph 49, page 16, of the General Specifications for Sanitary Sewers. I have taken all steps, before sending you the notification, which are required by said paragraph 49."

This, I think, will be a sufficient reply under the circumstances.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Sylvan Hayes Lauchheimer,

Deputy City Solicitor.