

File No. 11744 Continued.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, August 20, 1910.

B. T. Vendall, Esq.,
City Engineer.

Dear Sir:-

9398

Replying to yours of the 18th relative to the collection of "past ducts" rentals on account of ducts laid in the bed of turnpike roads that were formerly county roads". I would suggest that you first address yourself to the collection of rentals from now on, reserving the question of past rentals for future determination.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Edgar Allan Poe,
City Solicitor.

File No. 11744.

CORRESPONDENCE.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS,
SUB-DEPARTMENT OF CITY ENGINEER.

Baltimore, October 10, 1910.

Mr. Edgar Allan Poe,
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

9399

A representative of the C. & P. Tel. Co. made application for a permit to lay certain conduits in the Frederick Road. In issuing this permit we used the ordinary form of permit for turnpike roads not yet turned over to the City, as per your instruction. The C. & P. Tel. Co. did not care to accept this permit but preferred to have the road considered as an ordinary private right of way and do their work without a permit. They state that their Counsel, Mr. Carter, had a conference with you on this subject and that you agreed with the Company in part in their contention and would advise me to that effect. Not having heard from you, and these