

File No. 11728. Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS,  
SUB-DEPARTMENT OF CITY ENGINEER.

Baltimore, August 15, 1910.

Mr. Edgar Allan Poe,  
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

9378 Referring to my letter of August 11th, and your reply of August 12th, with regard to connecting up the tracks at Falls Road and Union Ave., I beg to advise that the information submitted in my letter was not accurate. I was misled by the drawing submitted by Mr. Hood. After personally examining the case, these people will not lay any more track on Union Ave. than they have now. Instead of having a "dead end" track, they will connect the present "dead end" with their main track by means of a switch and frog and really occupy less space in the street than they do at present.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) B.T. Fendall.

City Engineer.

File No. 11728.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, August 16, 1910.

B. T. Fendall, Esq.,  
City Engineer.

Dear Sir:-

9379 In view of your letter of August 15th, setting forth more fully the facts in relation to the tracks of the United Railways Company at Falls Road and Union Avenue, I beg to say that I see no reason why you should not grant the permit originally requested by the Company.

Very truly yours,  
(Signed) Edgar Allan Poe.  
City Solicitor.