

File No. 11,698 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

9365

also Ord. No. 2, of Nov. 25, 1892; Ord. No. 13, of Feb. 24, 1894; Ord. No. 51, of May 2, 1902; and Ord. No. 111, June 22, 1904.

File No. 11,698.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, September 21, 1910.

B. T. Fendall, Esq.,
City Engineer.

Dear Sir:

9366

I received the copy of the notice you had sent to Mr. Tippet, in reference to the sidewalk on the east side of Tenth street, between Beech and Piedmont avenues, and I enclose, in reference thereto, a letter to the City Solicitor covering this point, and also copy of a notice to be sent Mr. Tippet in case he fails to construct the pavement in the manner ordered by you.

9367

You state that Mr. Tippet is laying a sidewalk and only making it three feet wide. If he does not comply with your order to make it four feet wide, and of the character you have designated, and you intend to lay it in the manner called for by your notice, then I think it advisable that a new notice issue, as I have some doubts as to our ability to rely upon the notice you have sent, in case of a contest.

Should Mr. Tippet fail to carry out your orders, kindly take the matter up again with this department, so that we may start afresh and strictly in accordance with the Ordinances.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Sylvan Hayes Lauchheimer,
Acting City Solicitor.