

File No. 11,693 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

9314

- A. Surface water, from the yard in which buildings 203-1/3 and 203-1/2 are located, is discharged into the existing drain through the storm-water catch-basin shown on the drawing. If the Sewerage Commission takes over this existing drain, would it be entitled to order this storm water connection to be discontinued, in view of the fact that there appears to be no other feasible way of draining the storm-water from this yard, except over the surface?
- B. Premises 203-1/3 and 203-1/2 belong to the same owner as premises 201 and 203 Charles street, but are located, I understand, upon a separate lot. Assuming that the Sewerage Commission cannot build a sewer in alley "B" without first securing a right of way, and it finds the securing of such right of way unreasonably expensive, will the requirements of the law be sufficiently complied with by bringing connections to the east building line of Charles street, in front of 201-203, to serve also 203-1/3 and 203-1/2?

Yours truly,

(Signed) Calvin W. Hendrick,
Chief Engineer.

File No. 11,693.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, August 11, 1910.

Hon. Edgar Allan Poe,
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:

I am writing you in reference to the inquiries of Mr. Calvin W. Hendrick, Chief Engineer of the Sewerage Commission, as stated in his letter of August 1st, 1910, regarding certain questions which have arisen in the preparation of plans of sanitary sewers in Sanitary District No. 14. Mr. Hendrick enclosed blue prints of certain drawings, - 33-1815 and 33-1816, which I attach hereto.

Drawing 33-1816.

1. There is an alley marked "X" running north from Camden street, in the rear of Nos. 218-226 south Charles street. There is an existing private drain laid in the bed of this alley. A gate at the Camden street end of the alley indicates that it is private and not public. The premises Nos. 218-226 south Charles street all have con-

9315

9316