

File No. 11251 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

Upon reading this Ordinance, however, you will note that it applies only to streets where that portion occupied by our tracks is being laid with improved paving. It is, therefore, elective on the part of the Railway Company as to whether we will place ballast under our tracks at the locations mentioned above, as I understand in both instances the existing cobble paving is to be retained between our outside rails.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) John M. Hood, Jr.,
Chief Engineer.

File No. 11251.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS,
SUB-DEPARTMENT OF CITY ENGINEER.

Baltimore, April 29th, 1910.

Mr. J. M. Hood, Chief Engineer,
United Railways and Electric Company,
Baltimore, Md.

Dear Sir:-

I have your favor of April 28th, and note what you say with regard to Ordinance No. 98. As I read the Ordinance, it says exactly the opposite of what you state. The Ordinance provides for placing ballast under the tracks whenever a street is paved or repaved and under that ordinance I have a full and entire right to require you to put ballast under your tracks in any cobble stone street, when I see fit to pave or repave that street with cobble. The Ordinance says not one word about improved pavement, but speaks of all paving generally.

I am sending you a copy of the Ordinance, as it is very evident that you have not a correct copy, or that you have not read the Ordinance.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) B. T. Fendall,
City Engineer.