

File No. 11251.

CORRESPONDENCE.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS,  
SUB-DEPARTMENT OF CITY ENGINEER.

Baltimore, April 25th, 1910.

Mr. Edgar Allan Poe,  
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

I respectfully submit herewith a letter from Mr. Hood, with regard to Wilkens avenue, a copy of my reply thereto, and a copy of section one of the Ordinance to which Mr. Hood refers.

For your information, I beg to advise that the paving of Wilkens avenue was provided for in the Ordinance of Estimates, and that the original estimate prepared and submitted by me, in my estimates for new improvements for the year 1910, did not propose to use improved paving between the rails or the Railways Company's tracks on Wilkens avenue.

My reason for not using improved pavement was that the grade on Wilkens avenue, for a portion of the distance, is about 4%, and rather too steep to give good results with any kind of improved pavement.

Mr. Hood is anxious to force the use of improved pavement between the rails so that he may use a pattern of rail not approved by this Department. If the cobble remains in his tracks the rail that he desires to use cannot be used.

I should be glad if you will inform me in view of the facts submitted, whether there is anything in Mr. Hood's contention.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) B. T. Fendall,  
City Engineer.