

File No. 11237. Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

Under the existing lease which this Company signed for duct space, there is no provision made whereby we should pay the Electrical Commission for ducts other than those which we actually occupy, and the Maryland Telephone Co. is under no obligation to pay for any duct space other than they actually occupy. The Maryland Telephone Company of Baltimore City is not responsible for any special contract to which you refer and of which we have no copy.

If you have any such agreement and this matter is not clear to you, I shall be very glad to call at your office at your convenience ~~in~~ regard to the matter.

Yours very truly,

MARYLAND TELEPHONE COMPANY,

(Signed) W. G. Bailey.

Superintendent.

File No. 11237.

ELECTRICAL COMMISSION OF BALTIMORE CITY,  
OFFICE OF CHIEF ENGINEER.

Maryland Telephone Company,

Mr. W. G. Bailey,

Superintendent.

Dear Sir:-

I have your letter of April 20th, in respect to certain duct space which you claim that your Company is not using and for which you have been paying duct rental, and for which you ask credit. As the majority of this requires careful checking which is now going on I can only reply at once to the status of the application covering the rental for four ducts on Hanover Street which you claim in your letter is not an obligation of the Maryland Telephone Company of Baltimore City.