

File No. 11224 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

referred the letter we wrote you on January 18th, (in which we set forth the fact that we already have sewers in all alleys between Homewood avenue, York Road, North avenue and Twentieth Street) to the Sewerage Commission for their action, no doubt the Commission has taken action on this matter, being as you have commenced excavating preparatory to laying a new sewer in an alley that we have a sewer at present, therefore, we will thank you to advise us just what action the Commission took relative to our letter of the 18th, which you referred to them.

An early reply will be appreciated.

Yours respectfully,

(Signed) P. Flanigan and Sons.

File No. 11224.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, February 2nd, 1911.

William D. Platt, Esq.,
Chairman, Pro tem,
Sewerage Commission.

Dear Sir:-

I beg to advise you that in accordance with the understanding reached at the meeting held at Dr. Remsen's office last week, I had an interview with Messrs. P. Flanigan and Sons.

I went over the matter quite fully with them and told them that I had advised the Sewerage Commission that it was under no legal obligation to take over, at the present time, any private drains. Also, that the owners of private drains had no legal right to insist that the Commission should either bind itself to take over any private drains in the future, or even to indicate, at the present time, whether or not the private drains, in any locality, were suitable to be incorporated into the general sewerage system.

I also told them, however, that I could see no reason why the