

File No. 10559 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

this money until the Counsel for the City and the Railways Company can determine our respective rights in this matter.

Further, I cannot see that it would in any way work a hardship on you, while it would enable us to derive the benefit from our excellent facilities for handling sand and gravel, as well as our favorable contract prices for the purchase of same.

Your charge for labor is, of course, considerably in excess of what it would have cost us to handle this work, but we are willing that the matter should be disposed of as above noted, provided it is distinctly understood that no precedent is established thereby.

Your early advices will be appreciated.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) J. M. Hood, Jr.,  
Chief Engineer.

File No. 10559.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS,  
SUB-DEPARTMENT OF CITY ENGINEER.

Baltimore, February 5th, 1910.

Mr. J. M. Hood, Jr.,  
Chief Engineer,  
The United Railways and  
Electric Company,  
City.

Dear Sir:-

I have your favor of February 3rd. In reply I have to say that I cannot accede to your wishes in this matter. In the first place, the plan you propose will mix up our accounts, and in the second place, it is impracticable for the reason that the gravel we are likely to use during the ensuing year will be used at places not reached by your tracks.

On Wednesday last, we had the letting of a piece of Vitrified brick paving in which the contractors made a special effort to put in