

File No. 10201 Continued.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore. June 24, 1909.

Harry P. Hooper, Esq.,
City Comptroller.

Dear Sir:-

7911

Replying to your letter of June 23rd, as to whether arrangements could be made to permit the United Fruit Company to comply with Section 5 of Ordinance No. 134, approved May 22, 1908, by providing the insurance out of the special Insurance Fund of the Company, let me state that Section 5 requires the Fruit Company to insure, and keep insured, all the improvements erected by it on the pier, at its expense, in an amount and in an Insurance Company or Companies satisfactory to the Comptroller. It would, therefore, not be a compliance with this Section of the Ordinance to insure the property out of the special Insurance Fund of the Company. The improvements must be insured in the usual way and in some Company acceptable to you.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Sylvan Hayes Lauchheimer,
Deputy City Solicitor.

File No. 10208.

CORRESPONDENCE.

APPEAL TAX COURT,
CITY HALL.

Baltimore. June 23, 1909.

Edgar Allan Poe, Esq.,
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

12

Will you please advise us who is the proper custodian of the official bonds given to the Mayor and City Council by the assessors