

File No. 10178 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

MILLER & BONSAI,
Attorneys at Law.
Offices: 511-515 Calvert Building.

Baltimore, July 24, 1909.

Edgar A. Poe, Esq.,
City Solicitor.

Dear Edgar:

7869

The B. F. Bennett Company informed me that the Van Dorn Company simply wrote that material would be according to specifications, or substantially that. I am satisfied that nothing is to be gained by such an inquiry. The question surely is not what the contractor, sub-contractor or bidders thought, but what the language of the specifications actually calls for.

Very truly yours,
(Signed) Leigh Bonsal.

P. S. I enclose copy of letter sent by me today to the Mayor.

File No. 10178.

MILLER & BONSAI,
Attorneys at Law.
OFFICES: 511-515 Calvert Building.

Baltimore, July 24, 1909.

Hon. J. Barry Mahool,
Mayor of Baltimore.

Dear Sir:-

7870

The question, in regard to the substitution by the Inspector of Buildings of the Van Dorn steel in place of the five ply material, described at length in the specifications, has now dragged along for such a long time that I take the liberty of briefly reviewing some aspects of the case.