

File No. 10178 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

7858

action of the Inspector of Buildings. If you are satisfied that five ply bars are undoubtedly called for, as the language indicates, then, under the opinion of your office, rendered on June 21st, 1909, I submit that, in making any alterations from the specifications, the Inspector of Buildings must show some necessity or unforeseen condition which has arisen during the progress of the work. This has not been done, nor has the Inspector of Buildings, in compliance with the law in the case of alterations, made any effort that I know of, or which has been alluded to in this case, to get, for the benefit of the city of Baltimore, the difference in price of the two articles. This lack of action on his part appears to me to be a total disregard of his duty in the premises.

Respectfully yours,

(Signed) Leigh Hensal.

P.S. I further desire to state that, in the opinion of the architect, Mr. Pietsch, five ply bars were called for and that, in his opinion, they are superior.

File No. 10178.

LAW OFFICES OF
EDWARD H. RICH and CHARLES S. RICH
808-809 UNION TRUST BUILDING.

Baltimore, July 19, 1909.

Edgar Allan Poe, Esq.,

City Solicitor, Court House, City.

Dear Sir:-

7859

The question submitted to you in this matter is, whether there was a violation of, or departure from, the specifications in accepting Van Dorn White Tool Proof steel for WINDOW GUARDS, CELL FRONTS and CELL DOORS. These three things, or parts of the Jail Building, are the only ones in reference to which the dispute, as to the character of the material required to be used by the specifications, exists.

The specifications, page 19, WINDOW GUARDS, calls for guards