

File No. 10117 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

7782

ment, in accordance with Ordinance No. 13, approved October 23rd, 1905.

From Guilford avenue, eighty feet eastward, Belt street is ten feet wide, thence eastward, it is twenty feet wide.

The property in the name of Benjamin Hamilton, et al., is described in the deed as running from 20-1/2 street fifty feet southward to a twenty foot alley.

In order to abate the nuisance, it will only be necessary to pave the portion of the alley surrounded by shaded lines.

The question is, whether in assessing the cost of the work on that portion fronting the lots "A" to "O", these lots should bear any portion of the expense, or should it all be assessed to the property abutting on the south side.

Note that no part of the pavement lies north of the centre line of that part of the street which is twenty feet wide.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) B. T. Fendall,  
City Engineer.

File No. 10117

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, June 8th, 1909.

Hon. Edgar Allan Poe,  
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

7783

As requested by your favor of June 4th, I have considered Mr. Fendall's letter to you of June 3rd, with regard to the assessment for the paving of Belt Street.

It appears that Belt street runs from Guilford avenue easterly to Barclay street; from Guilford avenue to 20 1/2 street it is 10 feet wide and from 20 1/2 street easterly it is 20 feet wide.

The Health Department has requested the City Engineer to repave this street, in order to abate a nuisance, the procedure to be