

File No. 10013 Continued.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore. June 12, 1909.

Hon. Edgar Allan Poe,  
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

The letter of June 5th, from the City Engineer, asking for further advice in regard to the pavement at the junction of Maryland Avenue and Hudson Alley, has been duly considered.

7732      Replying thereto I beg to advise that in my judgment the City Engineer is correct in assuming that he may assess upon the owners of the property fronting on Twenty-fifth Street and binding on Maryland Avenue, running back to the alley in question, the cost of repairing the pavement under consideration. I am advised that the owner of the leasehold interest in such property is George H. Everhart.

7733      As to the continued driving across the pavement on Maryland Avenue, in order to get into and out of the alley in question, and thus breaking or injuring such pavement, the City Engineer may, through the Police Department, prevent such driving in accordance with the Ordinance referred to in my letter of the 20th ultimo on the same subject. Hoping that the situation is now made plain, I am

Yours very truly,

(Signed) W. H. DeC. Wright,  
Assistant City Solicitor.

File No. 10013.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore. June 14, 1909.

B. T. Fendall, Esq.,  
City Engineer.

Dear Sir:-

7734      Replying to your favor of June 5th, relative to the situations that have arisen in connection with the alley in the rear of Nos. 100 to 116 Twenty-fifth Street, I herewith enclose copy of report to me on the subject from Mr. Wright, on which you will kindly act.

Very truly yours,  
(Signed) Edgar Allan Poe,  
City Solicitor.