

File No. 9763 Continued.

OPINION.

7318

than one-half of their original value, subsequent to April 24th, 1905, the date of the passage of the Ordinance, irrespective of whether the work is done at the instance of the property owner, or by the City Engineer because of the failure of the property owner to comply with the terms of a notice from the City Engineer to repair his pavement.

7319

The object of the Ordinance was to secure a certain grade of pavement in the Burnt District, and its provisions are as binding upon the City Engineer, who does the work representing the property owner, as upon the property owner himself. Of course, if the condition of the sidewalk does not necessitate repairs to the extent of more than one-half of the original value of the sidewalk, Section 14 is not applicable, and recourse would then have to be had to Section 22 of the same Article.

Very truly yours,
(Signed) Edgar Allan Poe,
City Solicitor.

File No. 9763.

CORRESPONDENCE.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS,
SUB-DEPARTMENT OF CITY ENGINEER.

Baltimore, April 2, 1909.

Edgar Allan Poe, Esq.,
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

7320

I hand you herewith a letter this day received from Mr. Brune. Mr. Brune evidently does not intend to co-operate in any way with the city, and I am endeavoring to find out what property Mr. MacKenzie represents. So far as I am able to learn, Mr. Brune represents the Carrollton Hotel property only.

I shall thank you to return Mr. Brune's letter after noting the same.

Very truly yours,
(Signed) B. T. Fendall,
City Engineer.