

File No. 9763 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

Engineer could lay no other sort of sidewalk except a brick sidewalk, and that no authority is given the City Engineer, under such circumstances, to lay an improved sidewalk, as required by ordinance.

Mr. Brune and Mr. MacKensie, representing a number of property owners, were present, and stated that they desired to report to their clients at 3 o'clock on Thursday next. These gentlemen also said that they would be glad to confer with you on the subject, should you so desire.

The Mayor has directed me to write this letter to you, and to request that you examine into the case and let him hear from you at as early a date as possible.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) B. T. Fendall,

City Engineer.

File No. 9763.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, March 23, 1909.

B. T. Fendall, Esq.,
City Engineer.

Dear Sir:-

I reply as follows to your letter of March 23rd, relative to the questions which have arisen over the paving of sidewalks in the Burnt District.

Mr. Brune and Mr. MacKensie came in this morning and talked to me about the matter. I told them that I did not agree with the conclusions which they had reached, but that I would look into the matter more fully and report to you. I now do so,-

In my opinion, Section 14 of Article 35, Fielert's Edition, is valid legislation and controls as to all sidewalks or footways in the Burnt District, laid or re-laid or repaired, to the extent of more

7317