

File No. 9743 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

made in Mr. Ritchie's report to the City Solicitor, that "Mr. Fendall's Inspector states that the line of settlement over the subway is clearly marked, and that in his opinion the sole cause of the settlement of the pavement is due to the fact that the work of refilling, ramming and paving over the conduit trench was not properly done".

This is not the case and there is nothing in the situation to justify such a statement.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Calvin W. Hendrick,  
Chief Engineer.

File No. 9753.

OFFICE OF  
BALTIMORE CITY TAX COLLECTOR,  
CITY HALL.

Baltimore, March 19th, 1909.

Edgar Allan Poe, Esq.,  
City Solicitor,  
Baltimore.

Dear Sir:-

7304

In our conversation a few days ago I informed you that Mr. Bruce had written this office in relation to the provisions of Section 182 of the Charter as to the time of inserting the first notice of tax sale. I find that on June 20th, 1907, Mr. Bruce wrote Mr. Williams answering some questions relative to the selling of property for street assessments and closed the letter with the following:

"While you should always make a point not to invite such a question needlessly, in my opinion the provisions of Section 182 of the Charter as to the time of inserting the first notice are directory merely, being intended as a rule of diligence for the City Collector, and not as a limitation upon his powers of sale".

Respectfully yours,

(Signed) E. A. Hartman,  
Deputy Collector.