

File No. 9283 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

Since that time Mr. Latrobe has been in conference with Mr. Banks, who is said to represent the Building Inspector on this Appeal Board.

Mr. Latrobe appeared before the Commission yesterday afternoon and stated that up to that time he had been trying to agree with Mr. Banks on the selection of a third arbitrator, but that they had been unable to get together. As the time has so far exceeded the allowed forty-eight hours, and there is a delay, the Sewerage Commission would like to know if, under the Building Code, it is not mandatory for new arbitrators to be selected.

Paragraph 2 of Section 10 of the Building Code reads:

"Should such arbitrators decline to act or fail to select a third arbitrator as aforesaid within forty-eight hours of the receipt of their credentials from the Inspector of Buildings, other arbitrators shall be selected and appointed in like manner in their stead."

With reference to the extension of time, the last part of paragraph 2, Section 10, reads:-

"The Commission so constituted and appointed shall examine the property, matter or thing in contention and shall pass upon all points at issue separately, and the decision thereon shall be in writing, signed by all or two of said referees; said decision shall be filed with the Inspector of Buildings within three days after the appointment of the third arbitrator aforesaid, unless upon request of the majority of the Commission the time shall be extended by the Inspector of Buildings."

Respectfully,

(Signed) Peter Leary, Jr.,

Brig.Gen'l.U.S.A.,Retired,

Chairman.

File No. 9283.

CORRESPONDENCE.

SEWERAGE COMMISSION
OF THE CITY OF BALTIMORE
AMERICAN BUILDING.

Baltimore, March 1, 1909.

Edward D. Preston, Esq.,
Inspector of Buildings.

Dear Sir:-

Under date of February 27th 1909, under the authority of the Sewerage Commission, I wrote you as follows in reference to