

File No. 9229.

CORRESPONDENCE.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS,
SUB-DEPARTMENT OF CITY ENGINEER.

Baltimore, December 7th, 1908.

Edgar Allan Poe, Esq.,
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

There are two squares on Payson street, from Edmondson avenue to Lanvale street, which are partly paved. Some of the paving is cobble stone, a small quantity of vitrified brick, and a considerable amount is entirely unpaved.

It has appeared to me that this is a proper place to use a part of my Incidental Fund, given me for the express purpose of completing the paving on streets, where conditions are as recited on this portion of Payson street.

As I understand the anti-cobble stone ordinance, it would not be proper for me to pave this street with cobble stone, and I am, therefore, estimating on doing the whole work with vitrified brick, utilizing so much of the vitrified brick as is now in position.

In your opinion, am I right in my conclusion as to my authority under the anti-cobble stone ordinance. As I see it, I have no right to use cobble stone, except in the matter of repairing existing cobble stone. To repair the existing cobble stone on Payson street and patch out the unpaved portions with vitrified brick would bring about quite an impossible condition, besides causing great dissatisfaction on the part of the property owners.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) B. T. Fendall,
City Engineer.