

File No. 8614 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

inspection of the whole pavement on North Avenue will convince one that any repairs made on this avenue were made necessary by ordinary wear and not by inequalities or defects in the work.

As I mentioned to you yesterday, my connection with this matter is due to the fact that Mr. Tippet requested me to look after some matters for him during his absence on a visit to Europe.

Yours respectfully,

(Signed) James B. Guyton.

File No. 8614.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, August 14th, 1908.

Harry F. Hooper, Esq.,
Comptroller.

Dear Sir:-

6502

Replying to your favor of August 11th relative to the propriety of deducting from the \$13,101.55, balance due John L. Robertson for the paving of Twenty-second street the sum of \$6,269.09, total cost expended by the City in repairing the pavements laid by said Robertson on other streets, I beg to state that in my opinion it is not legally advisable that you should make such deductions. Robertson contends that the repairs in question are not covered by the terms of his contract and the City is about to institute suit against him and the surety on his bond to test the question.

Truly yours,

(Signed) Edgar Allan Poe,

City Solicitor.